

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/538,839	Applicant(s) HAYASHI ET AL.
	Examiner Sarah Su	Art Unit 2431

All Participants:

(1) Sarah Su.

(2) Jonathan Berschadsky (46,551).

Date of Interview: 3 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____
(4) _____

Time: 10:50 AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
Claim 5 was discussed.

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The applicant's representative has authorized an examiner's amendment to insert in claim 5, after line 36: "performing all of the above steps using at least one processor."

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sarah Su/
Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)